

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		• =				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/663,086	09/16/2003	Ryan Hooper	V003 1080.1 1693			
7	7590 03/15/2006	EXAMINER				
Womble Carl	yle Sandridge & Rice	SORKIN, DAVID L				
P.O. Box 7037						
Atlanta, GA	30357-0037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1723	1723 DATE MAIL ED: 03/15/2006		
		·	DATE MAIL ED: 03/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/663,08	6	HOOPER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David L. Se		1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	·							
1)⊠ Resr	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Ja</u>	anuary 2006	S.					
· <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since								
close	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-18 and 21-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>14-18 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Clair	m(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐ Clair	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
Application P	apers							
9) <u></u> The s	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The c	Irawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Appli	cant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
· <u></u>	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	· ·		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,086

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 14-18 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The specification describers a "base 18". However, the base is located entirely below the handle of the bowl (when the bowl is attached). It is new matter to recite (in claim 14) "the bowl including a handle positioned at the front lower portion of the base", because the handle is located above the top of the front of the base. Similarly, "lifting the handle upwardly from the front lower portion in a direction toward the front upper portion [of the base]" (recited in claim 14) is new matter. Regarding claims 22 and 23, while the specification does state that the handle can be on the front of the base, it does not state that it can at the "front lower portion" and does not state that it can be at the "front upper portion". Also, regarding claim 22, the specification does not describe "lifting the handle upwardly in a direction toward the front upper portion [of the base]". Regarding claim 24, "the handle is disposed on a top surface of the front upper portion [of the base]" is not described. Regarding claim 25, "the handle is disposed on a side surface of the front upper portion [of the base]" is not described. Regarding claim 26, "the handle is disposed on a front attachment surface of the front upper portion" is not described.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,086

Art Unit: 1723

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

DLS